

REMARKS

In bullet #1 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claims 1, 11, 14, 15 and 18 because the word "likely" is allegedly not a definite claim language. In response, Applicants have amended claims 1, 4, 11, 12, 14, 15, and 18 accordingly per the Examiner's suggestion. More specifically, all incidents of "being likely to contain" in these claims have been changed to "containing".

In bullet #2 of the Office Action, the Examiner pointed out some allegedly typing mistakes in claim 14. In response, Applicants have amended claim 14 accordingly per the Examiner's suggestion.


The Examiner indicated claims 1-20 would be allowable if the above-mentioned claim objections are overcome.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's indication of allowed subject matter.

CONCLUSION

Based on the preceding arguments, Applicants respectfully believe that all pending claims and the entire application meet the acceptance criteria for allowance and therefore request favorable action. If the Examiner believes that anything further would be helpful to place the application in better condition for allowance, Applicants invites the Examiner to contact Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and/or credit Deposit Account 09-0456.

Date: April 06, 2006


Khoi D. Nguyen
Registration No. 47,820

Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts
22 Century Hill Drive, Suite 302
Latham, New York 12110
(518) 220-1850